Assessment Practices Feedback Rubric

Cathy Barrette, WSU Director of Assessment Revised 20240425

Purpose of the rubric:

This rubric is a tool for highlighting and improving the use of good practices in student learning outcomes assessment. The descriptions in the rubric lay out the components that contribute to good practices in each section of the WSU assessment plan.

Users and uses of the rubric:

Programs can use this rubric to learn about good practices, assess their own practices, inform a redesign of their assessment plan, or identify areas in which to seek professional development.

Assessment committees might use this rubric for those purposes as well, and to provide feedback to program representatives.

The University Assessment Council uses this rubric annually to review a sample of assessment plans. We use the results to:

- O Invite programs to a dialogue about their assessment practices, successes, challenges, and needs.
- O Recognize programs with good assessment practices.
- O Offer support, feedback, and resources for assessment.
- O Inform the council's efforts to support assessment across campus.
- O Provide data to the Higher Learning Commission in support of WSU's accreditation.

Organization of the rubric:

The rubric is presented as a single table for each section of WSU's assessment plan (mission statement, learning outcomes/program goals, curriculum map, assessment methods, results, action plans, timelines, stakeholder engagement). Each table presents the key components of one of those sections as a checklist, followed by descriptions of three levels of assessment practice (Reflects best practices, Meets standards, Needs development) plus a non-completion option (Not submitted). Use the checklist to help you decide on a level and then to identify ways to improve your assessment practices or reasons to celebrate them!

☐ The program's (not the	hts are included in the mission states The program's key offerings	□ The target audience or	The mission statement was
department's) purpose (i.e., why the program exists and what the program does that distinguishes it from other units or programs).	(opportunities, experiences, areas of study that help program participants meet program goals).	stakeholders (types of individuals or groups that would benefit from the program).	not submitted.
		A focus on learners as the primary stakeholders (e.g., wording is clear to a general audience).	
Which level best describes the qu	antity and quality of information in	the mission statement? (Select one.	
 Reflects best practices: All components are included and are well developed. 	 Meets standards: All or most of the components are included, but some need development. 	 Needs development (If any of the following apply): Few or none of the components are included. The statement is too general to distinguish it from other programs or it is focused on the department rather than the program. Most or all components are included, but are vague, unclear, or lack coherence. 	 Not submitted: The item was not submitted.

LEARNING OUTCOMES AND PROG	RAM GOALS		
Program learning outcome	es are statements of what students s	hould know, value, or be able to do	by the end of their program. They
apply to academic, co-curri	cular, and student support program	s.	
Program goals are operation	onal targets (e.g., retention rates, se	rvices/participation rates, satisfaction	on levels) that should only appear ir
co-curricular and student s	upport programs, not in academic p	rograms.	
Which of the following component	ts are included in the learning outco	omes or goals? (Check all that apply	<i>r</i> .)
\Box (For co-curricular and student	$\ \square$ A focus on the results of	A measurable, observable	Learning outcomes were not
support programs only:) An	learning or participating in the	behavior using an action verb	submitted.
operational goal	program (not on the learning	(e.g., "summarize"/	
	process, program activities, or	"compare"/"design", not	
A logical alignment to the	teaching)	"understand"/"know"/"are	
mission statement		familiar with"/"demonstrate	
	A single behavior (e.g.,	understanding of") (See	
	"analyze" vs. "analyze, interpret,	Bloom's taxonomy as a useful	
	and report")	tool.)	
Which level best describes the qua	ntity and quality of information in	the mission statement? (Select one	
O Reflects best practices (if	O Meets standards (if both	O Needs development (If	• Not submitted:
both criteria apply):	criteria apply):	either of the following	 The item was not
• There are at least four	 There are at least four 	apply):	submitted.
outcomes/goals in	outcomes/goals in the	 There are fewer than four 	
the assessment plan.	assessment plan.	outcomes/goals.	
• All outcomes/goals meet	 Most outcomes/goals meet 	• Few or none of the	
all of the criteria OR the	all of the criteria.	outcomes/goals meet all of	
outcomes appear to be		the criteria.	
mandated by the			
program's specialized			
accrediting agency.			
Please add any comments you'd lik	e to share with the program's repres	sentative(s) to celebrate or help the	m improve their learning outcome
or goals.			

	•	tudent Support programs may optionally su mits one, please rate it using the usual crite	
		checklist and "Meets standards" in the rating	
	nts are included in the curriculum ma		
 All program learning outcomes are listed. Each course, learning opportunity, or relevant milestone/activity (e.g., qualifying exams, prospectus, defense, mentored research/ event, service) is listed individually. 	□ For curriculum maps only: The development of learning across courses/learning opportunities is identified in each relevant course, activity, or milestone (e.g., introduction/development/mastery, 1/2/3, color-coding, <i>but not</i> X/present).	□ Only one program's information is included (e.g., BA and MA should be in separate curriculum maps). (Concentrations or tracks within a program can be combined <i>or</i> separate.)	□ A curriculum map (or activity- to-goal grid) was not submitted.
Which level best describes the qu	antity and quality of information in t	he curriculum map/activity-to-goal grid?	(Select one.)
 Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): All is information is provided in a clear format. The development of learning across courses/learning experiences within each outcome is clearly indicated. Each outcome is addressed in multiple courses, activities, or milestones. 	 Meets standards (if any criteria apply): Most information is provided. It takes some effort to determine how each course, learning opportunity or milestone activity contributes to the development of learning in each outcome. For Student Support programs only: A curriculum map or grid was not submitted. 	 Needs development (If any of the following apply): Little or none of the information is provided. Only a subset of outcomes is provided. Some of the courses, activities, or milestones are presented in groups (e.g., all 5000-level courses) rather than individually. The development of learning for each outcome is not clearly indicated. 	 Not submitted: For academic programs only The item was not submitted

ASSESSMENT METHODS

For the assessment methods section, you'll be evaluating three elements: the **completeness** of the methods descriptions, the **usefulness** of the data, and the **practicality** of the process. Usefulness is the most important and is inherently supported by the description.

You'll need definitions for two terms:

- Useful data means that the method will lead to the collection of scores, responses, results, etc., that isolate information about individual learning outcomes or goals at an appropriate level of detail to provide an indication about what the program should retain or change.
 - For example, collecting essays in a course and scoring them with a relevant rubric is likely to provide useful data about students' academic writing skills, whereas evaluating student videos of how to do calligraphy would not. Similarly, global scores such as course grades that combine or conflate performance on multiple outcomes are typically not useful by this definition. For example, a B in a course could mean that the student earned an A on LO1, a B on LO2, and a C on LO 3, or a B on all three LOs.
- A **practical method** is one that can be implemented with existing time and resources.

Most of the checklist focuses on the description of the methods, but your rating must take all three elements into account.

Which of the following components are included in the description of the assessment methods? (Check all that apply.)

 What the data source is (e.g., scores from specific exams or presentations, survey results, focus group responses) How the data are gathered (by whom, from whom) How often/when the data are gathered Who evaluates or scores the data 	 The criteria for evaluating or scoring the data (e.g., accuracy of content, coding responses as criticism vs praise) The evaluation scale (e.g., 0-100%; strongly agree to strongly disagree; the proportion of 	 The person or group responsible for reviewing the results The criteria or threshold for acceptable performance (e.g., an 85% pass rate; an average 75% score across all students; 	 The methods are likely to produce useful data. The methods seem practical. Assessment methods
	disagree; the proportion of criticism to praise)	75% score across all students; more praise than criticism)	Assessment methods were not submitted.

Which level best describes the quantity an	nd quality of information in the as	sessment method? (Select one.)	
 Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two methods. All or most components of the method description are provided. The description includes sufficient detail to easily understand whether the assessment is appropriate for measuring the target learning outcome(s). The assessment isolates useful data. The assessment method is practical. 	 Meets standards (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two methods. All or most of the points are included, but some need clarification. The assessment isolates useful data. The assessment method is practical. 	 Needs development (If any of the following apply): The assessment plan has fewer than two methods. Little or no information is provided. Many details need clarification. The assessment will not provide useful data. 	 Not submitted: The item was not submitted.
Please add any comments you'd like to share methods.	with the program's representative(s	s) to celebrate or help them improv	ve their assessment

□ A summary of the scores, responses, or other data, including any problems that arose.	A statement of whether the results met or failed to meet the threshold or criteria for acceptable performance.	□ A data set (de-identified individual learner scores, responses, etc.), either in the description or as an attachment.	□ Results were not submitted.
Vhich level best describes the q	uantity and quality of information	in the results? (Select one.)	
 Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two results. All information is provided and is clear. The data set supports the summary. If applicable, results for the current year are linked to previous years' results or action plans. 	 Meets standards (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two results. Most information is provided. The data set may or may not support the summary. Some details may need clarification. 	 Needs development (If any criteria apply): The assessment plan includes fewer than two results. Little or no information is provided. The information is unclear. The data set does not support the summary. 	 Not submitted: The item was not submitted.
lease add any comments you'd lik esults.	te to share with the program's repres	sentative(s) to celebrate or help the	m improve the description of the

ACTION PLAN				
		vere met might include continued monitoring of the		
plan to assess a different outcome next year, for example. Actions for outcomes there were <u>not</u> met might include changes to the program's curriculum, teaching methodology, assessment tools, etc. The key is for the actions to be a logical response to the assessment results.				
	its are included in the program action			
☐ The plan identifies at least one area of the program or of the assessment plan that will be monitored, remediated, or enhanced.	The plan states at least one logical step to improve the program in response to the results. (Changes not linked to	☐ The plan identifies a person or group responsible for carrying out the steps of the action plan.	☐ A program action plan was not submitted.	
	the assessment results fall outside of this description.)			
Which level best describes the q	uantity and quality of information in t	the action plan? (Select one.)	1	
 Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two action plan sections. All information is provided and is clear. The chosen action(s) clearly and logically relate to the results. Actions focus on changes the program (not the learners) will make. 	 Meets standards (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two action plan sections. Most information is provided; some information may need clarification. The chosen action(s) clearly and logically relate to the results. Actions focus on changes the program (not the learners/students) will make. 	 Needs development (If any of the following apply): The assessment plan includes fewer than two action plan sections. Little or no clear information is provided. The chosen action(s) do not clearly or logically relate to the results. Actions focus on changes learners must make rather than on changes the program will make. The identified action is to make a plan at some future point. 	 Not submitted: The item was not submitted. 	
Please add any comments you'd like to share with the program's representative(s) to celebrate or help them improve their action plan.				

□ The timeline sets a specific schedule for implementing the action plan.	are included in the timeline? (Check all that		□ A timeline was not submitted.
 Which level best describes the quart Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two timeline sections. All parts of the action plan have a specific schedule for implementation and completion. 	 tity and quality of information in the action Meets standards (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least two timeline sections. Most parts of the action plan have a specific schedule for implementation and completion. 	 Plan? (Select one.) Needs development: The assessment plan includes fewer than two timelines. Few or none of the parts of the action plan have a specific schedule for implementation or completion. 	 Not submitted: The item was not submitted.
Please add any comments you'd like timelines .	to share with the program's representative	e(s) to celebrate or help them impr	ove their

□ One or more stakeholder groups were selected.	are included in the stakeholder engagemen □ A plan to engage at least one of the stakeholder groups in discussing any aspect of the program's assessment is described.	A brief summary of feedback from at least one stakeholder group is provided.	☐ A stakeholder engagement item was not submitted.
 Which level best describes the quar Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least one stakeholder group and one engagement plan. The program summarizes 	 Atity and quality of information in the stake Meets standards (if all criteria apply): The assessment plan includes at least one stakeholder group and one engagement plan. The program shares information about at least one aspect of the program's 	 holder engagement plan? (Select or Needs development (if any apply): The assessment plan does not include stakeholder groups or an engagement plan. The program does not share 	ne.) • Not submitted: • The item was not submitted.
feedback from at least one stakeholder group related to one or more aspects of the program's assessment efforts. Please add any comments you'd like stakeholder engagement plan.	assessment efforts but does not gather stakeholder input. to share with the program's representative	assessment information with any stakeholder group. e(s) to celebrate or help them impr	ove their

OVERALL RATING

To help you decide on an overall rating, please review the scores you selected above for each section.

Which level best describes the overall quality of this assessment plan? (Select one.)

 Reflects best practices (if all criteria apply): All sections were submitted. Most sections reflect best practices, with extra weight given to outcomes/goals, methods, results, and action plans. 	 Meets standards (if both criteria apply): At least the mission, outcomes/goals, methods, results, action plans were submitted. Most sections meet standards, with extra weight given to outcomes/goals, methods, results, and action plans. 	 Needs development (if any apply): Several sections were not submitted. Most sections were rated as "needs development". (Give extra weight given to outcomes/goals, methods, results, and action plans.)
Please add any comments you'd like to share wi practices and opportunities for improvement.	th the program's representative(s) about the	e strengths in their assessment